



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,107	11/25/2003	Miwa Kanamori	57810-083	4499

7590 12/19/2006  
McDermott, Will & Emery  
600 13th Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER
----------

VUONG, QUOCHIE B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2618

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/19/2006	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/720,107	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KANAMORI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Quochien B. Vuong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2618	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-22 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's response filed on 10/06/2006. Claims 21-26 are now pending in the present application. **This action is made final.**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

1. Claims 23-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/06/2006.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 19, 21, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hofschien et al. (WO 99/00962 – English translation).

Regarding claim 19, Hofschien et al. discloses a portable telephone set (figure 1) comprising: talking means (microphone and speakers); music replay means (SPM), for superposing a talking voice of telephone communication on replayed means when making the telephone communication through the talking means during the music replay by the music replay means; and volume control means for controlling the volume of the

Art Unit: 2618

replayed music and the volume of the talking voice of the telephone communication (see abstract; and page 7, line 16 – page 10, line 5).

Regarding claim 21, Hofschien et al. disclose the portable telephone set capable of arbitrarily setting the volume of the talking voice of the telephone communication and the volume of the replayed music in the process of the music replay (page 9, lines 1-12; and page 10, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 26, Hofschien et al. disclose wherein the volume control means reduces the volume of the replayed music when superposing the talking voice of the telephone communication on the replayed music in the process of music replay (page 9, line 1-12; and page 10, lines 1-5).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofschien et al. in view of Chin (US 5,661,788).

Regarding claim 20, Hofschien et al. disclose the portable telephone set according to claim 19. Hofschien do not disclose telephone number storage means storing telephone numbers, and superposing the talking voice of the telephone communication on the replayed music in the process of the music replay by the music

Art Unit: 2618

replay means when making the telephone communication with the telephone numbers stored in the telephone number storage means. However, Chin (figure 1) discloses telephone number storage means storing telephone numbers (figure 1, item 112) for selectively alerting the user of preferred telephone calls (see abstract, column 2, line 45 – column 3, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the telephone number storage means and the teaching of Chin to the portable telephone set of Hofschien et al. in such a way the portable telephone set superposing the talking voice of the telephone communication on the replayed music in the process of the music replay by the music replay means when making the telephone communication with the telephone numbers stored in the telephone number storage means so that the user can be selectively alerted of the preferred incoming telephone calls as suggested by Chin (column 1, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 22, Hofschien et al. and Chin disclose the portable telephone set of claim 20 above; in addition, Hofschien et al. disclose the portable telephone set capable of arbitrarily setting the volume of the talking voice of the telephone communication and the volume of the replayed music in the process of the music replay in correspondence to the telephone number stored in the telephone number storage means (page 9, lines 1-12; and page 10, lines 1-5).

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 19, Applicant argues that Hofschien fails to disclose any volume control means controlling both a volume of the replayed music and a volume of the talking voice of the telephone communication. The examiner, however, does not agree with the Applicant. Applicant's attention is directed to Hofschien (page 9, lines 1-12; and page 10, lines 1-5) which clearly discloses the volume control means for controlling both the volume of the replayed music and the volume of the talking voice of the telephone communication.

***Conclusion***

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2618

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quochien B. Vuong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



QUOCHIE B. VUONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Quochien B. Vuong  
Dec. 12, 2006.